EXHIBIT 12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RENALDO NAVARRO,) (CERTIFIED COPY
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
Vs.) (Case No.
) 3	3:19-cv-08157-VC
MENZIES AVIATION, INC., DOING)	
BUSINESS AS MENZIES; and)	
DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
	_)	

Webex deposition of RENALDO NAVARRO, VOLUME I, taken remotely on behalf of the Defendant, beginning at 9:41 a.m. and ending at 4:23 p.m., on Thursday, July 23, 2020, before JOANNA B. BROWN, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8570, RPR, CRR, RMR.

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	FOR PLAINTIFF RENALDO NAVARRO:
3	LIBERATION LAW GROUP, P.C.
	BY: ARLO GARCIA URIARTE, ESQ.
4	2760 Mission Street
	San Francisco, California 94110
5	(415) 695-1000
	(415) 695-1006 Fax
6	arlo@liberationlawgroup.com
7	FOR DEFENDANT MENZIES AVIATION, INC., dba MENZIES:
8	FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
	BY: CHRISTOPHER G. WARD, ESQ.
9	555 South Flower Street, Suite 3300
	Los Angeles, California 90071-2411
10	(213) 972-4500
	(213) 486-0065 Fax
11	cward@foley.com
12	ALSO PRESENT:
13	CAROLINE CARRERA, TAGALOG INTERPRETER #301298
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	3

1		I N D E X	
2	EXAMINATION		PAGE
3	RENALDO NAV.	ARRO	
4			
5		BY MR. WARD	7
6		BY MR. URIARTE	115
7			
8		EXHIBITS	
9	DEFENDANT'S		PAGE
10	Exhibit 1	Aircraft Service International	
		Group "Incident Report" dated	
11		March 18, 2007, Bates	
		No. MENZIES_000146 (1 page)	34
12			
	Exhibit 2	Aircraft Service International	
13		Group "Notice to Employees as to	
		Change in Relationship," Bates	
14		Nos. MENZIES 000143 and	
		MENZIES 000144 (2 pages)	35
15			
	Exhibit 3	Aircraft Service International	
16		Group "Incident Report" dated	
		March 30, 2009, Bates	
17		No. MENZIES 000140 (1 page)	38
18	Exhibit 4	-	
		for a May 30, 2009, warning,	
19		Bates No. MENZIES 000139 (1 page)	39
20	Exhibit 5	"Employee Coaching Document"	
		for a May 18, 2010, warning,	
21		Bates Nos. MENZIES 000135 and	
		MENZIES_000136 (2 pages)	40
22		000130 (2 pages)	10
	Exhibit 6	"Employee Coaching Document"	
23	DVIIINT (for a May 3, 2012, warning,	
23			41
24		Bates No. MENZIES_000126 (1 page)	1 T
24	Evhihi+ 7	June 30 2012 warning decument	
2 =	EXIIIDIL /	June 30, 2013, warning document,	4.0
25		Bates No. MENZIES_000127 (1 page)	42
			4
			·

1		EXHIBITS	
2	DEFENDANT'S		PAGE
3	Exhibit 8	Letter to "Menzies Management"	
		from Menzies fueler, Bates	
4		Nos. MENZIES_000152 -	
		MENZIES_000154 (3 pages)	54
5		-	
	Exhibit 9	Second petition against	
6		Andrew Dodge, Bates	
		No. MENZIES_000150 (1 page)	54
7			
	Exhibit 10	Text-message exchange, Bates	
8		No. MENZIES 000088 (1 page)	56
9	Exhibit 11	Handwritten statement by	
		Christopher Lawrence, Bates	
10		No. MENZIES 000086 (1 page)	64
11	Exhibit 12	Handwritten statement by	
		Andrew Dodge August 16, 2018,	
12		Bates No. MENZIES 000089	
			66
13			
	Exhibit 13	"Employee Performance Development,"	
14		Bates No. MENZIES_000099 (1 page)	79
15	Exhibit 14	Typed statement by John Qually,	
		Bates No. MENZIES_000084 (1 page)	82
16			
	Exhibit 15	Set of documents produced, Bates	
17		Nos. RenaldoNavarro 000001 -	
		RenaldoNavarro 000014 (14 pages)	95
18			
	Exhibit 16	Plaintiff's Initial Disclosures	
19		Pursuant to Federal Rule of	
		Civil Procedure 26(a)(1)	
20		(3 pages)	105
21			
22		UNANSWERED QUESTIONS	
23		PAGE LINE	
24		14 10	
25			
			5
1			

1	Remotely; Thursday, July 23, 2020
2	9:41 a.m.
3	
4	CAROLINE CARRERA,
5	having been duly sworn, translated English into
6	Tagalog and Tagalog into English as follows:
7	
8	RENALDO NAVARRO,
9	having been duly sworn, was examined
10	and testified as follows:
11	
12	THE REPORTER: Good morning. My name is
13	Joanna Brown. I am a California certified stenographic
14	reporter. Due to the current national emergency of the
15	COVID-19 virus, this deposition is being handled via
16	remote means.
17	Today's date is Thursday, July 23, 2020, and
18	the time is approximately 9:41 a.m. This is the
19	deposition of Renaldo Navarro in the matter of
20	Renaldo Navarro v. Menzies Aviation, Inc. This is
21	venued in the United States District Court, Northern
22	District of California. The case number is
23	3:19-cv-08157-VC.
24	At this time, I will ask counsel to identify
25	yourselves, state who you represent, and agree on the

- 1 bit earlier, is that the only time you've been a party
- 2 to a lawsuit?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Have you ever made any type of legal claim
- 5 against a former employer other than Menzies?
- There was, sir.
- 7 What was that?
- At Swissport. It's the name of the company,
- 9 Swissport.
- 10 Q And specifically what type of legal claim did
- 11 you make against Swissport, if it was not a lawsuit?
- MR. URIARTE: I'm going to -- I'm just going
- 13 to instruct the witness not to answer right now.
- 14 Chris, can we, like, just meet and confer for
- 15 a second here?
- 16 He has a settlement agreement with Swissport,
- 17 and it has a confidentiality clause. So we cannot --
- 18 I guess what we could say is that they were
- 19 employment-related claims with regards to his
- 20 employment at Swissport.
- 21 MR. WARD: Okay. May I -- did he -- what I'd
- 22 like to know is if Mr. Navarro made any type of
- 23 administrative claim against Swissport or if it was
- 24 purely a private settlement prior to any type of formal
- 25 action.

1 MR. URIARTE: It was a private settlement. 2 Yeah. 3 MR. WARD: Okay. We are going to need to come back to that, but I'll move on at the moment. 4 5 Let me ask, what is the approximate date of 6 that settlement agreement? What's that for? Swissport? 7 8 0 Yes. What is the date of that settlement 9 agreement, approximately? 10 I no longer -- I'm no longer sure about 2013 11 I no longer remember, 2014, 2013, 2015, like 12 that. 13 Is it your belief that -- well, strike that 14 question. Were you --15 Was your employment with Swissport terminated involuntarily? 16 17 MR. URIARTE: Yeah. I mean, Chris, I don't 18 want to make this process difficult. I'm just not 19 certain as we sit here whether he'd be violating his 20 confidentiality agreement if he answered that, you 21 know. I'm not certain with regards to that. Look, if 22 I can send to you a copy of the settlement agreement, I

would, but I'm just not -- I think we have a notice

requirement with regard to that. We would have to

notify Swissport before we divulge.

23

24

All right. 1 MR. WARD: 2 Mr. Navarro, is it your belief that if you 3 make legal claims against a former employer, that you 4 are likely to get a settlement from them? 5 Objection. Vaque and ambiguous. MR. URIARTE: 6 Calls for a legal conclusion. 7 THE INTERPRETER: Sorry. Vague and ambiguous and what? What's the other one? 8 9 MR. URIARTE: Calls for a legal conclusion. 10 You can answer, Mr. Navarro, if you 11 understand. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. Please repeat. 13 BY MR. WARD: 14 My question is, is it your belief that if you 15 make legal claims against a former employer, you are 16 likely to get a settlement? 17 Yes, sir. A 18 Is that what you are doing in this lawsuit? 19 Yes, sir. 20 Is it your belief that employers are likely to 21 give you a settlement if you make claims against them 22 regardless of the merit of those claims? 23 Objection. Calls for a legal MR. URIARTE: 24 conclusion. Vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: Please repeat the question

1 again. 2 MR. WARD: Sure. 3 0 Is it your belief that if you make legal 4 claims against a former employer, you are likely to get 5 a settlement from them regardless of the merit of those 6 claims? 7 A Yes, sir. 8 MR. URIARTE: Mr. Navarro, are you 9 understanding the Tagalog interpretation? 10 THE WITNESS: (Inaudible.) 11 MR. URIARTE: You have to answer in Tagalog. 12 MR. URIARTE: Yeah. I mean, I have --13 THE INTERPRETER: Just a second. Let me 14 interpret that. 15 THE WITNESS: It seems it's far from my 16 understanding in Tagalog. 17 MR. URIARTE: I think -- Ms. Carrera, I 18 understand your proficiency and your amazing use of the 19 official, traditional, government-level Tagalog, but it's sure not what usual, normal people in Tagalog 20 21 would use in the street. There are two forms of 22 Tagalog. There's the Tagalog that is normally used 23 around the country by normal people, but when you use 24 words like (speaks Tagalog) -- I went to a university 25 there, and I spoke formal Tagalog; but normal people

And did you graduate? 1 0 Α Are you asking how long? How long, sir? 3 Yes. Q 4 Α Yes, sir. 5 Did you attend any type of college or 6 university in the Philippines? 7 Α Yes, sir. 8 Where was that? Q 9 Philippine College of Criminology, but I did Α 10 not finish it. Any other type of schooling in the Philippines 11 12 other than high school and what you just mentioned 13 prior to moving to the United States? 14 No more, sir. Α 15 Can you please identify for me all of your 16 employers prior to Menzies Aviation since you 17 arrived -- well, let me start over. After you moved to the United States, can you 18 19 please list all of your employers up to Menzies. 20 In 2005, when I got here, I worked at 21 Service Fair part-time -- oh, Service Air part-time. 22 2005, in September, I started at ASIG Aviation. In 2005, where I worked for, it was purchased by 23 Swissport. It was purchased in 2015 by Swissport, and 24

in 2016, ASIG was purchased by Menzies.

- 1 Q Did I understand you correctly that you worked
- 2 for ASIG -- you've had two different periods of time
- 3 where you were employed by ASIG?
- 4 A What do you mean two different times?
- 5 Q So let me do it this way: First you -- first
- 6 you started at Service Air; right?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q And did you remain employed by Service Air up
- 9 to when Swissport purchased Service Air?
- 10 THE INTERPRETER: Please repeat the question.
- MR. WARD: Sure.
- 12 From when he started at Service Air until the
- 13 purchase by Service Air of Swissport, was he
- 14 continuously employed by Service Air?
- 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was continuous.
- 16 BY MR. WARD:
- Okay. And then, when you started your
- 18 employment with ASIG in approximately 2016, was that
- 19 the first time you had worked for ASIG?
- 20 A What I did was work in 2005 at ASIG up to 2016
- 21 when Menzies purchased ASIG, and I continuously worked
- 22 for them.
- 23 Q I see. You were working for both Service Air
- 24 and ASIG at the same time?
- 25 A Yes, sir. I'm sorry. Different. They were

```
different.
 1
 2
              Okay. Did you ever have any type of
 3
     supervisory job at Service Air?
 4
              THE INTERPRETER: Please repeat the question.
 5
              MR. WARD:
                          Sure.
 6
         0
              At Service Air, did you have any type of
 7
     supervisory responsibilities?
 8
         Α
              No, sir.
              The only supervisory job you've had was at
 9
10
     ASIG and Menzies; right?
              Yes, sir.
11
         Α
12
              Have you ever declared bankruptcy?
13
         Α
              No, sir.
14
              Have you ever been convicted of a felony in
15
     the United States?
16
         Α
              No, sir.
17
              And you understand that Menzies purchased ASIG
     at some point a couple of years ago; correct?
18
19
              2016, sir.
              While you were employed by ASIG, you were
20
21
     promoted to supervisor; right?
22
         A
              Yes, sir.
              And at that time, were you given additional
23
         0
24
     job responsibilities as a supervisor?
25
         A
              Yes, sir.
```

- 1 What did you understand those additional
- 2 responsibilities to include?
- 3 A First of all, with people. Before you were
- 4 with people and then you had to handle people, and
- 5 then -- and also, with the flight, you should be able
- 6 to distribute it to the people equally.
- 7 And when you say "distribute," are you talking
- 8 about distributing the amount of work across the people
- 9 you supervise equally?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q The supervisory job that you received at ASIG,
- 12 was it fuel?
- 13 THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. Was it what?
- 14 Hello?
- MR. URIARTE: There's an audio issue.
- 16 THE INTERPRETER: I didn't get the complete
- 17 question.
- 18 MR. URIARTE: Chris, your screen -- Chris,
- 19 your screen shows a muted icon again.
- 20 MR. WARD: I just lost sound in here again.
- 21 Can you -- I cannot hear anything that anybody is
- 22 saying, but I think you can all hear me. Can somebody
- 23 nod their head yes.
- MR. URIARTE: Yes.
- THE INTERPRETER: Yes, ves.

```
MR. URIARTE: Can we get off the record?
 1
              MR. WARD: Off the record again, please.
 3
              (Off the record.)
              MR. WARD: Are we back on the record?
 4
 5
              THE INTERPRETER: Yes, I'm here.
 6
              MR. WARD: All right. Can you read off the
 7
     last question that I asked as well as the response.
 8
     missed all of that.
 9
              (The record was read as follows:
10
              supervisory job that you received at ASIG,
              was it fuel?")
11
12
              MR. WARD: All right. I couldn't hear that,
13
     and there's all kinds of background noise. Can you
14
     read the question and answer again, please.
15
              (The record was read as follows: "The
16
              supervisory job that you received at ASIG,
              was it fuel?")
17
18
     BY MR. WARD:
              All right. So the question, Mr. Navarro, the
19
20
     supervisory job that you had at ASIG, was it fueling
21
     supervisor?
22
              Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
              And is that the same job you held until your
23
         0
24
     termination of employment?
25
         A
              Yes, sir.
```

- Do you consider the fueling-supervisor
- position to be part of company management?
- 3 Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- Do you consider that fueling-supervisory
- 5 position to be a leadership role?
- A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q In your opinion, is it important for
- 8 supervisors to be at work when they are expected to be
- 9 there?
- 10 THE INTERPRETER: I didn't get the first part
- 11 of the question. Please repeat that.
- MR. WARD: Sure.
- 13 Q In your opinion, is it important for
- 14 supervisors to be at work when they are expected to be
- 15 there?
- 16 THE INTERPRETER: I'd ask that to be repeated.
- 17 Sorry. There are some breaks in the words.
- 18 BY MR. WARD:
- 19 Q In your opinion, Mr. Navarro, is it important
- 20 for supervisors to be at work when they are expected to
- 21 be at work?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q In your opinion, is it important for
- 24 supervisors to be honest in their communications with
- 25 their employer?

1 A Yes, sir. 2 In your opinion, is it important for 3 supervisors to follow company policy? 4 A Yes, sir. 5 In your opinion, is it important for 0 6 supervisors to set a positive example for 7 nonsupervisory employees? 8 MR. URIARTE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. 9 You can answer, Mr. Navarro. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 11 BY MR. WARD: 12 0 In your opinion, is it important for a 13 supervisor to support the other members of company 14 management? 15 A Yes, sir. 16 0 In your opinion, is it important for 17 supervisors to work effectively with other company 18 supervisors? 19 A Are you referring to another company, sir? 20 I'm just referring to, in a supervisory 21 capacity, is it important -- is it important, in your 22 opinion, to work effectively with other supervisors? 23 A Yes, sir. 24 In your opinion as a supervisor, is it 25 important to avoid undermining the authority of other

```
1
     supervisors?
 2
              THE INTERPRETER: This is the interpreter. I
     would like to consult a word first.
3
 4
              MR. WARD: Sure.
5
              THE WITNESS: Please repeat that, sir.
              MR. WARD: Sure.
 6
7
              As a supervisor, in your opinion, is it
8
    important not to undermine the authority of other
9
     supervisors?
10
        A
             Yes, sir.
              As a supervisor, is it appropriate, in your
11
        0
12
     opinion, to involve nonsupervisory employees in
13
     personal disputes?
14
              MR. URIARTE: Objection. Vaque and ambiguous.
15
     Chris, did you say other supervisors or other
16
    nonsupervisors?
17
              MR. WARD: I will have the reporter repeat my
     question, please.
18
19
              (The record was read as follows: "As a
20
              supervisor, is it appropriate, in your
21
              opinion, to involve nonsupervisory
22
              employees in personal disputes?")
23
             THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. That's correct.
24
    BY MR. WARD:
25
              And as a supervisor, should you avoid
        0
                                                             30
```

1 involving nonsupervisory employees in personal 2 grievances? 3 A Yes, sir. As a supervisor, should you avoid pressuring 4 5 employees to get involved in personal grievances? 6 A Will you please repeat the question again. 7 Sure. As a supervisor, is it important to 8 avoid pressuring employees, nonsupervisory employees, 9 to get involved in personal grievances? 10 MR. URIARTE: Before you answer that question, 11 Mr. Navarro, remember the instruction earlier. If you 12 do not understand the question that is said in Tagalog, 13 indicate that if you are having a problem with the 14 Tagalog interpretation. Do say that so that we know 15 where the problem is. I just want to make sure that 16 you do that. Okay? Great, Mr. Navarro. 17 THE INTERPRETER: This is the interpreter speaking. I would like to have the question, please, 18 19 repeated. MR. WARD: Sure. 20 21 0 My question is, in your opinion, should a 22 supervisor avoid pressuring nonsupervisory employees to become involved in personal disputes? 23 24 A No, sir. 25 0 Why not?

1 A For example, it's my own problem. Why should 2 I involve them in my own problem? 3 0 And if you had a conflict with another supervisor, in your opinion, should non- -- scratch 4 5 that. Start over. 6 As a supervisor, if you have a conflict with 7 another supervisor, is it your understanding that 8 nonsupervisory employees should not be brought into 9 that problem? 10 THE INTERPRETER: Should that be -- I'm sorry. 11 Chris, should not be what? MR. WARD: I'll just ask a different question 12 13 again. 14 THE INTERPRETER: Okav. 15 BY MR. WARD: 16 0 As a supervisor, is it your understanding that 17 you should not bring nonsupervisory employees into conflicts you have with another supervisor? 18 19 That's correct, sir. A 20 As a supervisor, if you ask a nonsupervisory 0 21 employee to sign something, do you think you should 22 first explain to the employee what you are asking them to sign? 23 24 THE INTERPRETER: Just a second. I have to 25 translate this again.

```
1
              THE WITNESS: That's correct, sir.
 2
     BY MR. WARD:
 3
              In your opinion, if a company has an opinion
         Q
 4
     that a supervisor has been pressuring nonsupervisory
 5
     employees --
 6
              THE INTERPRETER: This is the interpreter.
7
     It's breaking up.
8
                         I'll try again.
              MR. WARD:
9
              THE INTERPRETER: Go ahead.
10
     BY MR. WARD:
11
         0
              In your opinion, if a company has a good-faith
12
     belief that a supervisor has been intimidating
13
     nonsupervisory employees, would that be a valid basis
14
     for termination of the supervisor?
15
              MR. URIARTE:
                            Objection. Calls for a legal
16
     conclusion. Vague and ambiguous.
17
              THE WITNESS: I think it depends, sir.
18
     BY MR. WARD:
19
              What would it depend upon?
20
              It depends on what the employee wants to tell
21
     them on what intimidation the employee is talking
22
     about.
23
              In your opinion, is it important for employees
         Q
```

THE INTERPRETER: Please repeat that.

to take responsibility for their errors?

24

1 MR. WARD: Sure. In your opinion, is it important for employees 3 to take responsibility for their errors? 4 A Yes, sir. 5 Okay. I'm going to do my best to see if we 6 can make this work. I am sharing a document that I am 7 going to mark as Exhibit 1 to your deposition. 8 Are you able to see that? 9 Α Yes, sir. 10 Are you able to see the full exhibit -- the full page? 11 12 No, sir. Just the date of incident, sir. Α 13 How about now? Q 14 Α Yes, sir. 15 (Deposition Exhibit 1 was marked for 16 identification, a copy of which is 17 attached hereto.) BY MR. WARD: 18 19 0 Have you ever seen Exhibit 1 before? 20 No, sir. Α 21 To your knowledge, in March of 2007, were you Q 22 ever spoken to by a supervisor about not following instructions? 23 24 I don't remember anything (inaudible), what

they were instructing me.

```
MR. WARD: We are off the record.
 1
              (Off the record.)
 3
              MR. WARD: Let's go back on the record.
              Mr. Navarro, are you familiar with an
5
     individual by the name of Andrew Dodge?
6
         A
              Yes, sir.
7
              And at the time that you were employed by
8
     Menzies, was Mr. Dodge also a supervisor?
9
         A
              Yes, sir.
10
              And he was also a fueling supervisor; correct?
11
         A
              Yes, sir.
12
              So the same position you held; correct?
13
         A
              Yes, sir.
14
              Do you recall that there was some type of
15
     petition that was circulated, complaining about
16
     Andrew Dodge?
17
              THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. Complaining
18
     about what?
19
     BY MR. WARD:
20
              Complaining about Andrew Dodge?
         0
21
         Α
              Yes, sir.
22
              And how did you first learn about that
23
     petition?
24
              THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. Please repeat
25
     that.
```

- BY MR. WARD: 1 2 Anybody else you can identify by name who 3 asked you to sign the petition? 4 I forgot the others, but it was Jezen and 5 Rafael. Did you sign the petition? 6 0 7 A Yes, sir. 8 Did you think it was appropriate to get Q 9 involved in a petition against another supervisor? 10 MR. URIARTE: Objection. Vague and calls for a legal conclusion. 11 12 You can answer, Mr. Navarro. You can answer 13 after my objection. 14 THE WITNESS: Please repeat the question. 15 BY MR. WARD: 16 0 The question was did you think it was 17 appropriate to sign a petition against another 18 supervisor? 19 MR. URIARTE: Same objection. 20 THE WITNESS: Maybe because, you know -- just 21 on the right, you know. 22 BY MR. WARD: 23 I don't understand your answer, Mr. Navarro. Q 24 If we know that what they are fighting for

against Andrew Dodge is right, so why not help them and

- 1 also help the company also --
- 2 Do you think --
- A -- to correct the wrong things that
- 4 Andrew Dodge did.
- And do you think signing a petition about
- Andrew Dodge might undermine Andrew Dodge's authority
- 7 with nonsupervisory employees?
- 8 They are the ones who are signing that. They
- 9 know the bad things that Andrew Dodge was doing to me;
- and, also, the things he was doing against the fueler,
- 11 that was not good.
- 12 O My question is different. My question is did
- 13 you think signing a petition against Andrew Dodge might
- 14 undermine Andrew Dodge's authority?
- 15 MR. URIARTE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Maybe not, sir.
- 17 BY MR. WARD:
- 18 Q Maybe not or no?
- 19 A No, no (In English).
- 20 THE INTERPRETER: This is the interpreter. I
- interpreted "not" and "no" the same word.
- 22 THE WITNESS: No, sir.
- 23 BY MR. WARD:
- Q Why not?
- 25 A If what's being said is the ones that is

- 1 Α Yes, sir. 2 If Andrew Dodge had complained to 3 nonsupervisory employees about you, do you think that would have been appropriate for him to do so? 4 5 THE INTERPRETER: Please repeat that question. 6 MR. WARD: Sure. 7 If Andrew Dodge had complained to 8 nonsupervisory employees about you, do you think 9 Andrew Dodge would be acting appropriately? 10 MR. URIARTE: Objection. Lacks foundation. 11 Vague and incomplete hypothetical. 12 THE WITNESS: It depends on him. I do not 13 know what he is thinking of. 14 BY MR. WARD: 15 Now, prior to signing this petition, you had 16 previously submitted complaints about Mr. Dodge; 17 correct? 18 A Yes, sir. 19 0 When was that? 20 THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter would like 21 to inquire.
 - 22 THE WITNESS: I no longer remember. The
 - 23 people told me what Andrew was doing. So I had that --
 - 24 I had that reported to the superiors.
 - 25 ///

THE INTERPRETER: Yeah. I stand corrected. 1 2 omitted Renil. 3 MR. WARD: Let's strike that question. I'll 4 ask it again. 5 So other than Randy Davies, Nico, Tracy, 6 Renil, and John Qually, is there anybody else who you 7 communicated complaints about Andrew Dodge to? 8 Α No more. 9 How much time passed, approximately, between when you communicated these complaints and when you 10 11 signed the petition? 12 Maybe those are years. Years. 13 And in between when you communicated the Q 14 complaints and when you signed the petition, did you 15 make, yourself, any other complaints involving 16 Andrew Dodge? 17 No more -- no, sir. A 18 MR. URIARTE: Is this a good time for lunch? 19 We have lunch being delivered. So --20 MR. WARD: We can go maybe for another 10 or 21 15 minutes first, if that's all right. 22 MR. URIARTE: That's okay. Yeah. Maybe --23 yeah, closer to 10 hopefully. 24 MR. WARD: All right. I am going to mark

as Exhibit 7 a document which has the Bates Nos. -152

1 to -154. 2 Mr. Navarro, this is a three-page document. So let me know when you've reviewed the first page, and 3 then I'll move to the next one. 4 5 A Yes, sir. 6 0 Okay. Can I flip to the next page? 7 MR. URIARTE: I'm trying to magnify it because 8 it's really small. Is that okay, Mr. Navarro? 9 THE WITNESS: Okav. 10 BY MR. WARD: 11 All right. Have you had a chance to look at 0 12 this first page? 13 A Yes, sir. 14 Let me know when you've had a chance to look 15 at this second page. 16 A Yes, sir. 17 And how about this third page? Have you seen 18 this? 19 Yes. The supervisor also. A 20 All right. Do you recognize this document 0 21 I've marked as Exhibit 7? 22 A Yes, sir. 23 And is this the petition that was presented to 0 24 you for signature? 25 A Yes, sir.

1 0 This first page that I have up right now, is 2 that what the petition looked like when it was 3 presented to you? 4 THE INTERPRETER: Please repeat the question. 5 The audio is breaking up. 6 BY MR. WARD: 7 For this first page that I have up in front of 8 you right now marked as -152, is that what the petition 9 looked like when it was presented to you? 10 A Yes, sir. 11 And is that your signature on line 16 there on 0 12 the second page of this exhibit? 13 A Yes, sir. 14 And you are the one who placed your signature 15 there? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 MR. WARD: All right. I'm going to mark this 18 Exhibit 8. It's Bates No. -150. 19 Mr. Navarro, let me know when you've had a 20 chance to review this. 21 THE REPORTER: Mr. Ward, can we go off the

53

MR. WARD: We are back on the record.

22

23

24

25

record one moment?

MR. WARD: Sure.

(Off the record.)

```
The reporter just clarified for me that
 1
     documents Bates No. -152 to -154 I had marked as
 2
 3
     Exhibit 7, but it should actually be 8; is that right?
              THE REPORTER:
 4
                             Yes.
 5
              MR. WARD: So then this document I have up
6
     right now, Bates No. -150, is actually going to be
7
     Exhibit 9.
8
              (Deposition Exhibits 8 and 9 were marked
9
              for identification by the reporter,
10
              copies of which are attached hereto.)
11
     BY MR. WARD:
12
              Have you had a chance to look at Exhibit 9
         0
13
    here?
14
         A
              I already read it, sir.
15
              Prior to today, have you ever seen this
         0
16
     Exhibit 9?
17
         A
              They gave me a copy.
18
              When you say "they," who is "they"?
19
              The shop steward gave it to me, Rafael.
20
              Did Rafael give this to you after you had
21
     signed the petition?
22
         A
              I think this is the second petition, that this
    is the second petition they made against Andrew Dodge.
23
24
              So is it your testimony that there were two
25
     petitions against Andrew Dodge?
```